

DEFECTIVE BILATERAL RELATIONS AND THE CHALLENGES OF MULTINATIONAL JOINT TASK FORCE IN WEST AFRICA

ADETAYO OLAMIDE SOWALE

DEPARTMENT OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS, MCPHERSON UNIVERSITY, SERIKI-SOTAYO,

OGUN STATE

&

OLANIKE FOLUSO OROGUN

DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE, OLABISI ONABANJO UNIVERSITY, AGO-IWOYE,

OGUN STATE

Abstract

The study examines issues and challenges of security community with a particular focus on the Multinational Joint Task Forces (MJTF) in combating Boko Haram insurgency in West Africa. The choice to examine these armed forces against Boko Haram is significant for a number of reasons. One, the threat of Boko Haram insurgency in West Africa emanates from the inherited irregular and poorly delineated colonial borders. Two, armed forces are deployed to safeguard the territorial integrity and national borders of the affected countries against external aggression. Third, issues of Boko Haram insurgency prominently features in the bilateral relations of Nigeria and its neighbouring states. Fourth, the government of Nigeria, Niger, Cameroon, and Chad established MNJTF as a form of collective security architecture against Boko Haram insurgency. Despite the establishment of MJTF, Boko Haram continues to wreck devastations in the Northeast Nigeria and other neighbouring states. Utilising secondary sources of data, the study concludes defective bilateral relations of Lake Chad Basin Commission (LCBCs) countries adversely affect the functionality of MNJTF. It is therefore recommended that Lake Chad Basin Countries (LCBCs) need to correct the problems associating with bilateral relations in order to successfully combat Boko haram menace in the West Africa sub-region.

Keywords: Security, Collective, Bilateral Relations, Multinational Joint Task Force.

Introduction

The operations of Multinational Joint Task Force (MNJTF) since its creation in 1998 and re-creation in 2014 have been expanded to track Boko Haram's violent extremism in Nigeria, Cameroon, Chad, Niger and Benin (Musa, 2013). The MNJTF has helped minimize Boko Haram's influence and has turned the group into toothless bulldogs with very small powers and engaged in occasional attacks only. The MNJTF, following those successes in its mission against Boko Haram in particular, the MNJTF has faced several challenges and violent extremism in general (Onuoha, 2014). It is worth remembering that Boko Haram's explicit objectives were to

destabilize the regimes of the countries affected, enforce Sharia law and create a Caliphate in their region of control. Their militant existence has been made easier by the extreme level of poverty, unemployment and religious fundamentalism transformed the young. Egoistic political ambitions, porous borders, the acquisition and use of illicit wealth, poor handling of crises and inadequate determination to check the sect's excesses also made violence extremism easy. While the Cameroon, Chad, Nigeria, Nigeria, and Benin governments have significantly diminished Boko Haram's operations, the sect lingers attacks (Kindzeka, 2014). Their group members remain adamant thereby keeps

exacerbating tension and insecurity in the countries affected. It is argued that to completely annihilate Boko Haram terrorist, the issues of bilateral relations, collaboration and challenges facing the Multinational Joint Task Force in West Africa.

Defective Bilateral Relations of LCB Countries and Multinational Joint Task Force

At the beginning of the Boko Haram insurgency, Nigeria saw it as a domestic imbroglio that didn't require the mediation of other state-actors. Neighbouring states of LCB saw it from that point of view as well. Despite the fact that the MNJTF was set up as far back as 1994, it didn't begin to assume any dynamic job in the administration of Boko Haram insurgency with the contribution of different countries until 2012 – four years after the Boko Haram organization turned to psychological militant assaults (Kindzeka, 2014). And, after it's all said and done, the historical backdrop of the security routine, as portrayed above, recommends that the other states of LCB had to join the missions by conditions outside their ability to control. They would have commonly not had anything to do with Nigeria, similarly as Nigeria would have not had any desire to have anything to do with Cameroon and Chad. At the end of the day, the MNJTF does not have the quality of trust between the LCB states (Ifabiyi, 2013).

Nigeria finds it unpalatable with the manner by which the Chadian war encouraged monstrous section of arms and ammunition into Chad, most particularly from France and the US. This made Nigeria doubtful of Chad constantly. Be that as it may, the most upsetting issue to Nigeria was the help that Chad got from Mohammad Ghadafi's Libya. The Libyan chief was not known in the course of his life to be a

genuine companion of Chad. Until his demise, the Libyan head progressed in the direction of inciting Islamic transformation in Nigeria utilizing diverse Islamic gatherings, most particularly a Libyan Muslim association known as Jam'yat advertisement Da'wa al-Islamiya Hazen and Horner, 2007). This security difficulty represented Nigeria's choice to cast a ballot on the side of the United Nations Resolution Council 1973 (of 17 March 2011) which approved every single vital measure to ensure regular people in the Libyan emergency including the need to debase the hostile limit of the Libyan armed force and crush the system's order and control capacities during the Libyan emergency. NATO executed the Resolution such that prompted the passing of Ghadafi on 20 October 2011 on account of Libyan renegades (Nte, 2011).

Chad assumed a spectator role as the Boko Haram sect inflicts agony on the borders of West Africa: professing to be uninformed of how the agitators were utilizing their nations as places of refuge against Nigeria. In December 2011, the administration of President Goodluck Jonathan conjured Section 305(1) of the 1999 Constitution to close Nigeria's outskirts with all its quick neighbors aside from Benin Republic (Onuoha, 2013). In 2012, Nigeria's property outskirts with Cameroon, Chad and Niger were shut, in view of the charge that Boko Haram individuals were utilizing these nations as places of refuge and the takeoff platform for their tasks. There was another conclusion of Nigeria's fringes with Cameroon, Niger and Chad in May 2013 (Kindzeka, 2014). The fourth case was recorded in February 2014 when Nigeria completely shut down its border zone with Cameroon in Adamawa State as a major aspect of the measures to conquer the exercises of the extremists in the north-east.

It was essentially intended to end deluge of agitators into and out of the nation (This Day 2014). None of these means halted the issue. The following alternative was to work together with the nations in managing the issue.

As a result of Nigeria's constant accusation that its Francophone neighbors were supporting Boko Haram, Cameroon and Chad began to take military activities against individuals from the group in their nations (Stohl and Tuttle, 2009). The other spurring factor was that the fear mongers had begun as of now to dispatch some shrewd assaults on residents of these nations. Boko Haram reacted by including these nations among its foes. This implied the emergency easily crossed borders to all the LCB nations (Albert and Danjibo, 2004). The nations currently turned out to be progressively prepared to work cooperatively with Nigeria in the MNJTF. As it were, the MNJTF as a security network didn't appear to help Nigeria essentially however to fill in as a stage for the nations of LCB to all in all safeguard themselves against a shared adversary that Boko Haram ended up being (Liolio, 2013).

The old doubts between the nations are still there in spite of the presence of the MNJTF. Nigeria doesn't trust either Cameroon or Chad; the nations also don't confide in Nigeria. This most likely worked against the dedication of the nations to the activities and most likely hindered some operational assignments (Agbiibo, 2013). A 2015 situation can be utilized to represent the idea of this issue. Though the MNJTF set December 2015 cutoff time for itself to clear out Boko Haram, Nigeria's Chief of Defense Staff, General Olonisakin, griped to his Chadian partner, General Seyni Garba, who was at the Defense home office (Abuja) in continuation of chats on Nigeria–Niger two-

sided endeavors in battling Boko Haram that by November 2015 Chadian soldiers were yet to be conveyed as a major aspect of around 8,700 soldiers that should make up the MNJTF. As indicated by Olonisakin, "The officer of the Multinational Joint Task Force has gone round the nations engaged with this activity to guarantee that they are all around conveyed in their area. A large portion of the soldiers have been conveyed particularly from Niger which is in a similar division with Nigeria and they are playing out their jobs successfully" (Information Nigeria 2015).

Nigeria is additionally not content with either Cameroon or Chad pursuing Boko Haram agitators directly into the Nigerian region. This is a standard issue. To Nigeria, this is a type of attack on Nigeria. The nation would lean toward a circumstance where the extremists are pursued to the outskirts and the Nigerian soldiers are left or welcome to complete the annihilation of the sect. The subsequent issue is the language boundary between the soldiers in the MNJTF (Roggio, 2015). Though numerous Nigerian fighters don't communicate in French, the troops of the various part states are French speakers and don't comprehend English at times. This makes operational arrangements and tasks in the field troublesome at times. A security network that can't subsidize itself is certifiably not a solid and reasonable element. This is one of the principal issues considered by the MNJTF today (Eji, 2017). Thus, the security network is steadily turning the hover to confront a similar issue that forestalled either the AU or RECs (ECOWAS and ECCAS) from doing what the MNJTF is currently doing: protecting the nations from psychological oppressors.

In February 2015, the Institute for Security Studies surveyed endeavors towards operationalizing the MNJTF. It saw

that while the course of action for the endorsement of the draft idea of tasks (CONOPS) for the MNJTF was prepared for the endorsement of the Peace and Security Council of the AU, subsidizing remained the center exceptional issue to be tended to by the mission. To this degree, the AU was intending to have a subsidizing meeting to address the issue in March 2015 (Institute for Security Studies 2015). The Daily Post paper of 28 July 2016 announced a similar issue. Like the AU, the UN also was supposed to be deficient with regards to enough assets to help the harmony crucial. This more likely than not hindered military activities or restricted the degree to which the mission could go (Albert, 2009).

Challenges of Multinational Joint Task Force: Offshoot of Defective Bilateral Relations

That the MNJTF is just some portion of a more extensive reaction to Boko Haram insurgency renders it a difficult thing to assess. Accomplishment against the devilish insurgency group around Lake Chad Basin depends to a huge degree on the approaches of affected states themselves, of which joint activities are just a single part. The MNJTF's record seems blended. The joint power has scored a few triumphs against activists (Assanvo et al 2016). It has on some occasions liberated people captured in the territories controlled by insurgent groups. Through cooperation Lake Chad Basin, states have enjoyed the opportunity to learn from one another, and support the guideline and framework for transnational collaboration against the Islamic militant group (Thurson, 2017). Victories have, notwithstanding, been lost in a short while due to the capacity of Boko Haram sect to adjust with the inconsistent activities of joint security forces. The

somewhat absence of ensuing security courses of action and stabilisation activities affords the jihadist groups the opportunity to pull and reinforce their attacks (Audu and Manag, 2017).

Contradictions among authorities of the four nations about whether Boko Haram is a local or a basically Nigeria's peculiar issue is one major cause for the protracted insurgency (Buchanan and Knoope 2017). Numerous senior military actors and prepared eyewitnesses in Chad, Niger and Cameroon consider their states to be experiencing inadvertent blow-back; a difficulty that generally stems, in their view, from Nigeria's ineptitude (Cave and David, 2016). Conversely, a portion of their Nigerian partners highlight the foothold that Boko Haram has picked up in neighboring nations as a sign of unseriousness on the part of security agents, import/export officers and other officials. These differentiating notions, once in a while communicated in blame dispensing, undermines sub-regional solidarity and its ability to contain the insurgency (Thurson, 2017).

The differing danger that Islamic extremist present to the four nations likewise obstructs lucid regional partnership. In spite of the fact that the four nations are battling a shared adversary, each country has sought after an alternative arrangement of achieving the objective of conquering the insurgency, which are themselves liable to change (Falode, 2016). The Nigerian government have been combating an all-out terrorism that controls huge parcels of the nation's northeast. Interestingly, Cameroon has generally managed a trans-border threat, and in some occasion thwarts a very much arranged and prepared assaults on its border zones (Jacob and Akpan, 2015). As far as concerns its, Chad has concentrated on occasional encounters on the lake and

securing flexible border routes through Cameroon. Niger has at diverse times attempted contained Boko Haram attacks along its border areas and, at times launched bigger offensives against the fundamentalist. The unique targets muddle the multilateral reaction as officials from every nation were on the mission with diverse strategies that lack the harmony to drive home the desired result – from restricted containment task in one country to continuous counter-terrorism in another (Kouma, 2017).

The deficient operation of MNJTF additionally mirrors the four nations' fairly whimsical attitudes to battling Boko Haram. In the post 2016 and 2017 tasks, effort to launch a counter-attacks on the Boko Haram melted away for the majority of two years. ISWAP's resurgence in late 2018 prompted another more coordinated reaction, with the drawn out 2019 mission hailed by concerned observers and MNJTF officials as a deviation from past short-lived efforts. But it is far-fetched whether that activity did in certainty speak to a move in the right direction for a more efficient participation, evident in intelligence sharing and joint operations (Obialor and Ugochukwu, 2017). The reality of defective bilateral relations became glaring in the unilateral Chadian counter-offensive strikes in 2020 against Boko Haram insurgency and the swift action the Chadain President Idris Déby without the affected neighbouring Lake Chad Basin Countries delineate the steady troubles states have in cooperating (Okolie and Ugwuweze 2015).

There are difficulties with both hardware and work force. Disagreements about financing have regularly hindered the conveyance of vital equipment's for operations. At the point when such hardware shows up, MNJTF ill-prepared for arrangements and utilization because of

poor internal communications (Robert, 2014). Although affected states have pledged a fragment of their armed forces to the MNJTF to battle Boko Haram, they have often times failed to send them into MNJTF units in their particular divisions for continued period. The aftermath is the inconsistent number of armed forces that make up the MNJTF's troop. At the point when MNJTF recover an area from Boko Haram, they lack the teething capacity to sustain the victories as insurgent groups have frequently won back lost ground. As noted by senior officer in the MNJTF, the armed forces experienced helplessly poor equipment (Tar and Mustapha, 2017).

Lake Chad Basin Countries and top military officers have their fair share of blame in the dysfunctionality of MNJTF as they have often times refused to share counter-insurgency plans for effective multilateral arrangements. In spite of the presence of an intelligence unit, supported by the UK, the U.S. furthermore, France, and staffed by Western and territorial officials, information sharing between MNJTF is apparently poor (Zagga et al 2017). Obviously hence, in mid-2019 the top military officer of the MNJTF beckon on the AU convince Lake Chad states to release vital information that can help surmount insurgency to the MNJTF. Even past intelligence sharing and collaboration within the MNJTF were inconsistent and uncoordinated. The coalition of states against Boko Haram Insurgency is limited to joint operations without integrating their diverse strategies. As a result, the armed forces don't generally do what they have consented as responsive collaboration against the insurgency (Tar and Mustapha, 2017).

The MNJTF has hardly been able influenced the actions of troops deployed in

it. Since the beginning of assignments against Boko Haram, human right abuse by security forces have forces been reported and, in some occasion instigated community support for militancy (Obialor and Ugochukwu, 2017). Report on human right abuses in the Lake Chad Basin shows that military personnel are responsible for about 40 percent of abuse in the zone occupied by Boko Haram. The difficult thing is stating the number of security actors working under the MNJTF. MNJTF. Study by the UN Development Program alludes abuses alludes by transnational security actors as an essential factor driving youths into violent groups (Cave and David, 2016).

Even more widely, MNJTF has not been able to properly monitor or improve human right protection in its areas of operation. Diverse reasons account for the weakened performance of MNJTF: its staffing levels are unnecessarily low and resources excessively very few to try and think about going into the field; headway of a typical civilian-military contact office has been hampered; (Zagga et al 2017) it has encountered resistance from the four countries' military institutions; and outfitted armed forces have planted disorder by dismembering themselves from MNJTF in an unprepared manner as ordered by the national headquarters. In 2019, the MNJTF made some progress with the help of African Union in sorting out framework of handling captured Boko Haram warriors and shared database of those surrendered. Whether or not these guidelines heed a positive result is difficult to articulate (Obialor and Ugochukwu, 2017).

Conclusion and Recommendation

The effort by West African states to combat Boko Haram insurgency by forming Multinational joint task force is a aftermath

of the resolution of African states that African problems can only be solve by Africans and the solution created by the Africans. It has dawn on African states that African devised solution to solving their own problems would be more potent as it would be fashioned from the knowledge of the local terrain that surround, reinforce, drive the problem arising from the territory of African Countries. To the international institutions, the MJTNF is another security regimen but to Africans it has formed the reality of African government to always rise to the occasion as there is no help that can be timely and accurate as the ones that comes from within Africa. The MNJTF has made some giant strides. They recovered the territory under the siege of the Islamic fundamentalist. However, Heads of States in Africa must collectively channel their energies to the course of combating Boko Haram insurgency. It is important that politicisation of the multilateral security agency will have a negative effect on the functionality of the security forces. Working according to plan is pivotal.

It would enable efficiency that ensures wiping out Boko Haram insurgency in West Africa. The African states need to also create a channel by which ideologies of the Boko Haram sect is changed. The killing of the terrorist though could spell apparent victory for the countries; it is imperative for African states to start deradicalizing the mind of their populace. Ideologies are powerful. They continue from generation to generation and as constructivist would think-actions of actors in the international system are governed, directed and propelled by ideas, notion and thought. The underlining ideologies of Boko Haram insurgency must be fought to the latter. The effective collaboration of the African states that form Multi National Joint Task Force must become

solid. The Boko Haram sect is really taking advantage of globalisation and the negative effect of globalisation has become horrendous and enormous for a single to state to combat. This calls for the security effort of all African states to ensure that the West Africa and African region become a safe place for the populace.

References

- Aaron, M & David H. (2011). Insurgency, Guerilla Warfare and Terrorism: Conflict and its Application for the Future. *Journal of Global Security Studies*, 2 (4), 23-26
- Adigbuo, E.R. (2014). The new ECOWAS counter-terrorism strategy and arms trade treaty. *International Affairs and Global Strategy*, 25, 46-48.
- Agara, T. (2015). The religious terrorists' mind-set: Understanding their tactics, targets, trade craft and technologies. *Studies in Politics and Society*, 2 (2), 352-355
- Agbibo, D. E. (2013). Is Might Right? Boko Haram, the Joint Military Task Force, and the Global Jihad. *Military and Strategic Affairs*, 3 (5), 25-31
- Agbibo, D. E. (2014). Boko-Haram and the Global Jihad: Do not think Jihad is over. Rather Jihad has Just Begun. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 64 (4), 400-405.
- Agbibo, D. E. (2017). Borders That Continue To Bother Us: The Politics of Cross-Border Security Cooperation in Africa's Lake Chad Basin. *Journal of Commonwealth & Comparative Politics*, 55 (4), 402-407. Doi.Org/10.1080/14662043.2017.1312730
- Assanvo, et'al. (2016). Assessing the Multinational Joint Task Force against Boko Haram. *ISS West Africa Report*. Issue 19. 23-32
- Thurston, A. (2017). Boko Haram Is Weakening, but Its Decline Will Be Violent and Uneven. *Journal of World politics review*, 3 (12), 419.
- Audu, O, Manag. D. (2017). Nigeria's Military Operations in the Lake Chad Basin. *Journal of Defense Management*, 7 (1), 45. DOI: 10.4172/2167-0374.1000162
- Albert, I.O. and N.D. Danjibo, 2004, 'Borno: Fitting the Designs of Political Elite' in Isumonah, A.V., ed., *Participatory Democracy and Good Governance in Nigeria*, Ibadan: Programme on Ethnic and Federal Studies.
- Adeola, Gabriel L. and Fayomi, Oluyemi O. (2012). "The Political and Security Implications of Cross Border Migration between Nigeria and Her Francophone Neighbours". *International Journal of Social Science Tomorrow*, Vol. 1, No. 3. 1-9.
- Adeyinka, A. M. (2014). "Trans-Border Movement and Trading Activities across Nigeria– Benin Republic Border". *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences (MC SER) Publishing, Rome-Italy*, Vol. 5, No. 1 (January). 415-423.
- Babatunde, O. A. (2009). "National Security and Migration: Towards and Effective Cross-Border Management in Nigeria". Geneva, Switzerland: International Organizational for Migration (IOM).
- Buchanan-Clarke. S, Knoope. P. (2017). The Boko Haram insurgency: From Short

- Term Gains to Long Term Solutions. *Institute of justice and reconciliation*, 3 (25), 223-224.
- Cave, & David (2016) "Strategy and adaptation in counterinsurgency: Lessons for the Australian Defense Force from the defeat of the Tamil Tigers" *Australian Army Journal*, 13(2):23-24. 155.
- Eji, E. (2017). Rethinking Nigeria's Counter-Terrorism Strategy. *The International Journal of Intelligence, Security, and Public Affairs*, 18(3), 198–220.
- Enobi, A.L. & Johnson-Rokosu, S.F. (2016). Terrorism financing: The socio-economic and political implications of Boko Haram insurgency in Lake Chad Basin. *Academic Journal of Economic Studies*, 2(1), 25-41.
- Eselebor, W. (2013). "Security and Development in the Context of Borderless Border in West Africa". Albert, Isaac Olawale and Eselebor, Willie Aziegbe (eds.) *Managing Security in a Globalized World, Society for Peace Studies and Practice*.171-191.
- Falode, A. (2016). The Nature of Nigeria's Boko Haram War, 2010-2015: A Strategic Analysis. *Journal of Perspectives on Terrorism*, 10 (1), 26-28.
- Hazen, J. M. & Horner, J. (2007) "Small Arms, Armed Violence, and Insecurity in Nigeria: The Niger Delta in Perspective" The Small Arms Survey Occasional Paper
- Idowu, J. (2013). Resolving and Preventing the Spread of Boko Haram Insurgency in Nigeria. *Ibadan Journal of Peace and Development*, University of Ibadan.
- Innocent. E. O & Ibietan. J. (2012). The Cost of Boko Haram Activities in Nigeria. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review* (OMAN Chapter), 2 (2), 33.
- Ifabiyi, I.P., (2013). 'Recharging the Lake Chad: The Hydro Politics of National Security and Regional Integration in Africa', *African Research Review* 7 (3) July: 196–216.
- IRIN, (2002). 'Nigeria: Border Issues around Lake Chad Cause Concern', IRIN, www.irinnews.org/report/34503/nigeria-border-issues-around-lake-chad-cause-concern.
- Jacob. J. U. U & Akpan. I. (2015). Silencing Boko Haram: Mobile Phone Blackout and Counterinsurgency in Nigeria's Northeast region. *International Journal of Security & Development*, 1 (4), 15. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/sta.ey>
- Kindzeka, M.E., (2014). 'Lake Chad Countries Agree on Military Task Force amid Insecurity', 18 March, Voice of America News, <http://www.voanews.com/a/lake-chad-countries-agree-on-military-task-force-amid-insecurity/1873650.html>.
- Kouma, G. C. J. (2017). Cameroon Fighting Boko Haram. *International Relations journal*. 17 (4), 114. DOI: 10.22363/2313-0660-2017-17-4-727-737
- Liolio, S. (2013). Rethinking Insurgency: A Case study of Boko Haram in Nigeria. A Thesis Submitted to the European Peace University, Austria.

- Mba. P & Nwangwu. C. (2014). The Counter-Insurgency Operations of the Joint Task Force and Human Rights Abuses in Northern Nigeria, 2011-2013. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 4 (5), 55. Doi:10.5901/jesr.2014.v4n5p67
- Ntamu. G. U, Ekpenyong. E. O. (2014). Boko Haram: A Threat to Nigerian National Security. *European Scientific Journal*, 10 (17), 296.
- Musa, S., 2013, 'Baga: Multinational Joint Task Forces, BHTs and Host Community, Sahara Reporters, 7 May, <http://saharareporters.com/2013/05/07/baga-multinational-joint-task-forces-bhts-and-host-community-lt-col-sagir-musa>, accessed 4 June 2015.
- Nte, N. D. (2011). "The Changing Patterns of Small and Light Weapons (SALW) Proliferation and the Challenges of National Security in Nigeria". *Global Journal of Africa Studies*, Vol. 1, No. 1. 5-23.
- Omogini, A. N. (2006). "Inter-Service Relations: Imperative for Jointness". Being a Lecture delivered at the National War College (Defence College). Abuja, 27 January.
- Onuoha, F. C. (2013). "Porous Borders and Boko Haram's Arms Smuggling Operations in Nigeria". Report. Al Jazeera Centre for Studies.
- Obialor. G. F & Ugochukwu. O. H. (2017). Terrorism and Counter-Insurgency in Nigeria: the Boko Haram Experience (2006-2017). *International Journal of Innovative Research in Social Sciences & Strategic Management Techniques (IJIRSSMT)*, 4 (2), 85.
- Okolie, A.M. & Ugwueze, M.I. (2015). Securitization of politics and insecurity in Nigeria: The Boko Haram experience. *International Affairs and Global Strategy*, 36, 28-40
- Onuoha, F. (2012). The audacity of the Boko Haram: Background, analysis and emerging trend. *Security Journal*, 25 (2), 134-151
- Onuoha, J. and Ugwueze, M.I. (2014). United states security strategy and the management of Boko Haram crisis in Nigeria. *Global Journal of Arts Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2 (2), 22-43 156
- Onuoha, F. (2014). Boko Haram and the evolving Salafi Jihadist threat in Nigeria. *West African Politics and Society Series*, 2 (1), 193.
- Onuoha, F. C (2016). "Boko Haram's Recruitment and Radicalization Methods in Nigeria: An Exposé". *South East Journal of Political Science*, 2(1) 181-183
- Roggio, B., 2015, 'Boko Haram Overruns Multinational Joint Task Force', *Long War Journal*, 4 January, http://www.longwarjournal.org/archives/2015/01/boko_Haram_overruns_1.php#ixzz3OGgsjjEN.
- Robert-Okah, I. (2014). Strategies for security management in Nigeria: A roadmap for peace and national security. *African Research Review*, 8 (3), 1-17. Doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/afrrrev.V8i3.1>
- Tar. U. A & Mustapha. M. (2017). The Emerging Architecture of a Regional Security Complex in the Lake Chad

- Basin. *Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa*, 42 (3), 102-106
- Stohl, R. & Tuttle, D. (2009). "The Challenges of Small Arms and Light Weapons in Africa". *Conflict Trends*.
- Tarlebba, K.N. and Baroni, S., (2010). 'The Cameroon and Nigeria Negotiation Process over the Contested Oil rich Bakassi Peninsula', *Journal of Alternative Perspectives in the Social Sciences*, Nova Southeastern University (Fort Lauderdale, Florida) 2 (1): 198–210.
- Tar. A. U, Sunday. A. (2017). Military Alliance and Counter-Terrorism in Sub-Saharan Africa: The Multi-National Joint Task Force in perspective. *Covenant University Journal of Politics & International Affairs*, 5 (2), 55-61
- Weeraratne, S. (2015). Theorizing the Expansion of the Boko Haram Insurgency in Nigeria. *Journal of Terrorism and Political Violence*. 1(26),239-241. : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09546553.2015.1005742>
- Vogt, M.A., 1987, 'Strategies for Enhancement of the Security of Nigerian Borders', *Eagle Journal of the Nigerian Command and Staff College*, Jaji, Nigeria, Special Edition, 39–40.
- Zagga. I. M. A, Et'al. (2017). Nigeria's Role in Regional Collective Security in Africa: An Appraisal of Nigeria and Niger Republic Multinational Joint Task Force (MNJTF). *Research journal on Humanities and Social Sciences*, 7 (11), 106.